UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/919,444	07/31/2001	Paul Dennis Stultz	M-11710 US	4212
David L. McCo	7590 01/02/200 ombs	EXAMINER		
Haynes and Boone, LLP 901 Main Street Suite 3100 Dallas, TX 75202-3789			PYZOCHA, MICHAEL J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2437	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/02/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/919,444	STULTZ ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	MICHAEL PYZOCHA	2437
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tired will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 in 18.</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ The 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 33-40 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 33-40 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according an applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination is objected.	ccepted or b) objected to by the edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 09/919,444 Page 2

Art Unit: 2437

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 33-40 are pending.

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 was filed in this application after a decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences, but before the filing of a Notice of Appeal to the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit or the commencement of a civil action. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the appeal has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114 and prosecution in this application has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11/05/2008 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 33, 35 and 37-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rakavy et al. US (5978912) in view of NEC.

As per claim 33, Rakavy et al. discloses an information handling system (IHS) comprising: a processor; memory coupled with the processor; a display device coupled with the processor; a keyboard coupled with the processor (see FIG. 2); a basic input/output system (BIOS) coupled with the memory and the processor to operate in

Page 3

connection with devices other than an I/O controller (see column 1 line 64 through column 2 line 26), wherein the BIOS includes a power-on self-test (POST) procedure, wherein the POST procedure determines whether there is a problem with one or more components coupled with the IHS and alerts users to existing problems, and wherein the POST procedure terminates a BIOS procedure if the POST procedure determines that a problem with one or more components is a critical problem (see column 9 lines 34-40 and column 17 lines 55-60 where restarting the POST procedure terminates the current procedure and starts a new one).

Rakavy et al. fails to disclose a security system to limit entry to a function of the BIOS during the POST procedure, the security system comprising one or more sub systems to: enter a non-responsive mode where only one keyboard input from a plurality of possible keyboard inputs is recognized by the processor and wherein inputs from devices other than a keyboard are ignored; and wherein the one keyboard input from a plurality of possible keyboard inputs initiates a time delay for a password to enter a system setup.

However, NEC teaches such a security system (see pages 2-18 and 2-29).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include the BIOS security system of NEC with the Rakavy et al. system.

Motivation, as recognized by one of ordinary skill in the art, would have been to increase the security.

As per claim 35, the modified Rakavy et al. and NEC system discloses wherein the devices other than a keyboard that are ignored include devices coupled via

telephone circuits, intranets, local area networks and the Internet (see NEC page 2-18 and Rakavy et al. FIG 2).

As per claims 37-39, the modified Rakavy et al. and NEC system discloses preventing unauthorized users from entry to the RAID controller, NIC controller and virtual controller (see NEC pages 2-22 through 2-31 where all the controllers' settings are protected by the BIOS password).

5. Claims 34 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the modified Rakavy et al. and NEC system as applied to claim 33 above, and further in view of Lin et al. (6192456).

As per claims 34 and 36, the modified Rakavy et al. and NEC system fails to disclose the use of SCSI and OPROM.

However, Lin et al. teaches the storing of BIOS code in the OPROM of a SCSI card (see column 1 lines 26-47).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art for the modified Rakavy et al. and NEC system to include the SCSI card with OPROM and for it to be protected as above.

Motivation to do so would have been to allow the SCSI card to function as a boot device (see Lin et al. column 1 lines 26-47).

6. Claim 40 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the modified Rakavy et al. and NEC system as applied to claim 33 above, and further in view of Beelitz et al. (US 6247126).

As per claim 40, the modified Rakavy et al. and NEC system fails to disclose preventing an unauthorized user from performing utility partition booting.

However, Beelitz et al. teaches such utility partitioning being enabled with BIOS keystrokes (see column 15 lines 14-24).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to protect the utility partitioning of Beelitz et al. with the modified Rakavy et al. and NEC system.

Motivation, as recognized by one of ordinary skill in the art, to do so would have been to allow only authorized users to allow partitioning and therefore multi booting.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 33-40 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MICHAEL PYZOCHA whose telephone number is (571)272-3875. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 7:00am - 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Emmanuel Moise can be reached on (571) 272-3865. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 09/919,444 Page 6

Art Unit: 2437

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Michael Pyzocha/ Examiner, Art Unit 2437